

## **ALERTS**

## California Supreme Court Provides Sweeping Class Waiver Guidance: Accepts Concepcion; Overturns Gentry; Rejects D. R. Horton Theory; Leaves PAGA Loophole

June 24, 2014 Atlanta | Chicago | Los Angeles | Delaware | Columbus | South Bend | Elkhart | Grand Rapids | Indianapolis | Minneapolis | Fort Wayne

On June 23, 2014, the California Supreme Court issued its much-anticipated decision in *Iskanian v. CLS Transportation Los Angeles, LLC (Iskanian)*. In a far-reaching opinion, the Court accepted the decision of the U.S. Supreme Court in *AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion*, 563 U.S. 321 (2011) (*Concepcion*), holding class action waivers in arbitration agreements are enforceable under the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA). This part of the opinion was a victory for California employers in that it overturned a prior California Supreme Court decision, *Gentry v. Superior Court*, 42 Cal.4th 443 (2007), that had invalidated class action waivers in employment contracts requiring arbitration.

The California Supreme Court also rejected arguments made by the plaintiff that class action waivers violate the National Labor Relations Act and affirmed the appeals court's holding that plaintiffs cannot rely on the decision of the National Labor Relation Board (NLRB) in *D.R. Horton*, 357 NLRB No. 184 (2012) (*D.R. Horton*), to circumvent agreements requiring individual arbitration. This holding was consistent with a prior ruling of the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals that refused to enforce the NLRB's *D.R. Horton* decision. The NLRB, however, continues to rely upon *D.R. Horton* pursuant to its non-acquiescence doctrine.

In *Iskanian*, the plaintiff, Arshavir Iskanian, was hired as a driver by CLS Transportation (CLS) – a limousine company. Upon hire, plaintiff signed a mandatory arbitration agreement that contained a class and representative action waiver. After he left CLS, the plaintiff filed a class action alleging various violations of the Labor Code as well as a representative suit under PAGA. As a private litigant, the California Supreme Court held that Iskanian is bound to that agreement by Concepcion. However, the *Iskanian* decision allows his PAGA claim to continue.

California employers are advised to review their arbitration agreements in light of *Iskanian* and assess how best to deal with the ramifications of its rulings.

?

For more information, please contact the Barnes & Thornburg Labor and Employment attorney with whom you work, or a leader of the firm's Labor and Employment Department in the following offices:

## **RELATED PEOPLE**



**Janilyn Brouwer Daub** 

Partner South Bend, Elkhart

P 574-237-1139 F 574-237-1125 janilyn.daub@btlaw.com



Teresa L. Jakubowski

Partner Washington, D.C.

P 202-371-6366 F 202-289-1330 teresa.jakubowski@btlaw.com



Mark S. Kittaka

Partner Fort Wayne, Columbus

P 260-425-4616 F 260-424-8316 mark.kittaka@btlaw.com



John T.L. Koenig

Partner Atlanta

P 404-264-4018 F 404-264-4033 john.koenig@btlaw.com Department Chair (317) 231-7513

John T.L. Koenig Atlanta (404) 264-4018

David B. Ritter Chicago (312) 214-4862

William A. Nolan Columbus (614) 628-1401

Mark S. Kittaka Fort Wayne (260) 425-4616

Robert W. Sikkel Grand Rapids (616) 742-3978

Peter A. Morse Indianapolis (317) 231-7794

Scott J. Witlin Los Angeles (310) 284-3777

Teresa L. Jakubowski Washington, D.C. (202) 371-6366

Janilyn Brouwer Daub South Bend (574) 237-1139

© 2014 Barnes & Thornburg LLP. All Rights Reserved. This page, and all information on it, is proprietary and the property of Barnes & Thornburg LLP. It may not be reproduced, in any form, without the express written consent of Barnes & Thornburg LLP.

This Barnes & Thornburg LLP publication should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only, and you are urged to consult your own lawyer on any specific legal questions you may have concerning your situation.

Visit us online at www.btlaw.com and follow us on Twitter @BTLawNews.



Peter A. Morse, Jr.
Partner
Indianapolis, Washington, D.C.

P 317-231-7794 F 317-231-7433 pete.morse@btlaw.com



William A. Nolan
Partner
Columbus

P 614-628-1401 F 614-628-1433 bill.nolan@btlaw.com



**David B. Ritter**Partner
Chicago

P 312-214-4862 F 312-759-5646 david.ritter@btlaw.com



Robert W. Sikkel
Of Counsel (Retired)

P 616-742-3978 robert.sikkel@btlaw.com



Scott J. Witlin

Partner Los Angeles

P 310-284-3777 F 310-284-3894 scott.witlin@btlaw.com



Kenneth J. Yerkes

Partner Indianapolis

P 317-231-7513 F 317-231-7433 ken.yerkes@btlaw.com

## **RELATED PRACTICE AREAS**

Labor and Employment